

CASE REPORT

Mixed primary prostatic carcinoma with acinar, neuroendocrine and ductal components

Alfredo E. Romero-Rojas¹, Julio A. Diaz-Perez^{1, 2, 3}, Abhinay Reddy²

¹ National Institute of Cancer, Bogotá, Colombia;

² University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA;

³ University of Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia.

Summary *Mixed tumors in the prostate gland have been described; they are primarily originate in the gland or are the product of metastatic compromise. Mixed primary epithelial carcinomas of the prostate are very rare; here we report the case of a 72-years-old man with histopathologic findings of a primary prostate mixed carcinoma, showing characteristics of acinar, ductal and neuroendocrine adenocarcinoma. We also discuss the clinical, diagnostic, and therapeutic aspects of these uncommon mixed neoplasms.*

KEY WORDS: Prostatic carcinoma; Neuroendocrine carcinoma; Ductal carcinoma; Acinar adenocarcinoma; Mixed tumor.

Submitted 15 March 2014; Accepted 31 May 2014

CASE REPORT

Here we report the case of a 72-year-old man with histopathologic findings of primary prostate mixed carcinoma, showing characteristics of acinar, ductal and neuroendocrine adenocarcinoma. We also discuss the clinical, diagnostic, and therapeutic aspects of these uncommon mixed tumors.

Case report and Figures are posted in Supplementary materials on www.aiua.it

DISCUSSION

Mixed prostatic carcinomas are rare; they demonstrate a combination of malignant epithelial or sarcomatoid components (1, 4). The epithelial variant of these carcinomas is often a high-grade acine adenocarcinoma mixed with squamous, urothelial, neuroendocrine, ductal, mucinous (colloid), signet ring, oncocytic, basal cell, and lymphoepithelioma-like components (4). The origin and utility of identification of these mixed carcinomas has been highly controversial; they have been thought by some authors to be derived from a single cell of origin, and are the product of one or multiple types of differentiation or

metaplastic changes, rather than representing a combination of separate epithelial neoplasms. However the hypothesis of neoplasm collision has been formulated, in which these neoplasms develop independently in the prostate (14, 15) formed by heterologous elements with lack of morphological and immunophenotypical connection. In our case we found a similar immunophenotypical pattern that is in accordance with the first hypothesis that is also supported by previous studies with analysis of loss-of-heterozygosity (14-16). Also multiple authors and classifications refer to these mixed tumors as descriptive variants with no known prognostic significance other than that of acinar adenocarcinoma (2, 7, 9), but it is currently known that these tumors are aggressive neoplasms with an associated poor prognosis (7), and an actual risk of death of 20% within one year of diagnosis.

Clinically, most patients are older (range 50-89) and present with urinary tract obstruction and symptoms of frequency, urgency, and nocturia (4), similar to our case. Other secondary symptoms including bilateral flank pain, microscopic hematuria, and renal insufficiency are also reported (7). Serum PAP and PSA can be normal or elevated (4, 7, 12). In about half of the cases, the initial biopsy diagnosis is an acinar (conventional) adenocarcinoma, followed by hormonal and/or radiation therapy, with a subsequent diagnosis of the mixed component in the histopathologic analysis of the surgical specimen (13).

The histopathological analysis conducted with hematoxylin and eosin and is the key to allow for a correct diagnosis and evade diagnostic pitfalls in these tumors. Microscopically, the morphology is highly variable and is challenging for the pathologist; metastatic tumors from other sites need to be considered in the differential diagnosis (16, 17). The most common primary sites of tumors to be considered and excluded in the differential diagnosis include carcinomas from the bladder and colon (17). Clinical exams by cystoscopy, colonoscopy, chest and abdominal tomography, and others are helpful (16, 17), also a careful immunophenotypical examination, with PSA, prostein (P501S), AMACR, PAP and CK7 positivity, and b-catenin, and CDX2 negativity are beneficial (13, 15, 18, 19). Other markers such as thrombo-

No conflict of interest declared

Archivio Italiano di Urologia e Andrologia 2014; 86, 2